

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/770,708	02.	/03/2004	Christian Gartner	100727-63/ Heraeus 414	1315
27384	7590	12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA				WERNER, JONATHAN S	
875 THIRD . 18TH FLOO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10022				

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,708	GARTNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Werner	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tte, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	·	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in literation documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (FTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/3/04 & 11/28/05</u>. 	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/770,708

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 2/3/04 and 11/28/05 were filed before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

3. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)),

Application/Control Number: 10/770,708

Art Unit: 3732

and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

Page 3

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

- 4. The claims are objected to because they include arbitrary reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses (D0, D1, etc...). Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).
- 5. Also, the claims are objected to because of the following informalities: each claim should be one sentence long and does not require capital letters for each word that begins a new element of a claim; the use of the term "3-D" should be consistent throughout the application instead of interchangeably using "3-D" and "3 dimensional;"

Application/Control Number: 10/770,708 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

and the body of each claim should not have any underlined portions (i.e. either/or in claim 1). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the denture base" in the second part J. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Additionally, the second part J recites the limitation "the data". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since it is not clear what data is referred to. Also, there are two references to step J in claim 1 which make it unclear as to which step is alluded to in the following dependent claims. As to claim 5, part H recites the limitation "the function." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, and hence it is not clear what the function referred to is. As to claim 6, part I recites the limitation "the determined bite data." It is not clear what the "determined" data refers to, and as such, is considered an indirect limitation. As to claim 7, it is not clear what step J is since claim 1 incorporates two different limitations that are both labeled step J.

Application/Control Number: 10/770,708 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 4-6, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jordan (US 6,152,731). As to claims 1 and 8, Jordan discloses a method of creating a dental prosthesis comprising recording and digitizing 3-D relationships in an oral cavity (Abstract; Figure 4); recording and digitizing 3-D data on bite/occlusion rims (22, Figure 6A; column 17, In 2-18); recording of mandibular data (Abstract); processing recorded data and obtaining a virtual model that includes a virtual placement of teeth (Figure 6B; col 17, In 19-24); selection of 3-D data records of previously scanned teeth (Figures 4-5); virtual placement of teeth into the virtual model (Figure 6B); transferring the virtual placement to the model by direct placement of the fabricated teeth on the model (col 17, In 27-37); affixing the teeth to the model (Figure 6B); attachment of a denture base (Figure 6B); and direct manufacture of a denture base according to data for a virtual denture placement, with positioning aids for positioning and affixing (22, Figures 6A-6B). As to claim 4, mandibular movements are simulated in/on a computer (col 23, In 50-61; col 24, In 3-4). As to claim 5, occlusion is inspected in/on the computer (col 23, In 62-64). As to claim 6, Jordan discloses the placement of teeth is manually corrected and a new calculation is performed to adapt to the bite and occlusion data (col 21, In 17-45). As to claim 9, Jordan discloses the use of a device for Art Unit: 3732

the manufacture of a dental prosthesis comprising a scanning or recording apparatus (col 4, ln 21-22); a processing device (30,40); a 3-D data record (Figures 4-5); a processing module (10,20); a simulation module (col 23, ln 56-64; col 24, ln 3-4); and a device for forming a denture base from data records (Figure 6B). It should be noted that in a product claim, patentable weight is not given to the process by which the configuration is optimized if the final product is shown regardless of the process used. Additionally, patentable weight is not given to the intended use of a device in apparatus claims based on the functional language used in said claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan in view of Baumrind (US 6,621,491). Jordan discloses a method of creating a dental prosthesis as previously described but fails to show that an oral situation is recorded directly using a 3-D camera. Baumrind, however, teaches a method for recording 3-D diagnostic data of an oral situation using a 3-D camera (30, Figure 1; col 3, ln 35-40 and 48-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to record an oral situation using a 3-D camera in

Application/Control Number: 10/770,708 Page 7

Art Unit: 3732

order to provide a holistic view of the patient for treatment purposes as taught by Baumrind.

- 9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan in view of Chishti (US 5,975,893). Jordan discloses a method of creating a dental prosthesis as previously described but fails to show scanning a plaster model. Chishti, however, teaches scanning a plaster cast of teeth to obtain 3-D data (col 5, ln 38-48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to scan a plaster model so that the patient is not exposed to X-rays as taught by Chishti.
- 10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jordan in view of Brodkin (US 2002/0033548). Jordan discloses a method of creating a dental prosthesis as previously described but fails to show the positioning template is milled or rapid prototyped. Brodkin, however, teaches dental restorations formed by milling or rapid prototyping (paragraphs 3 and 10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to mill or rapid prototype the positioning template since the machines make dental restoration designs based on the data supplied into small complex shapes and can thus reduce labor and increase structural reliability as taught by Brodkin.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Page 8

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to included form PTO-892 for all additional pertinent prior art related to manufacturing dental prostheses.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Werner whose telephone number is (571) 272-2767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Werner

Examiner AU 3732

JSW 12/16/05

Melda Bungaine MELBA N. BUMGARNER PRIMARY EXAMINER